| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------|--------------|
| | 10/670,516 | KATOH ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Linh M. Nguyen | 2816 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Linh M. Nguyen</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Nils Pedersen</u> . | (4) | · |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 September 2004</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | • | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-3 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner contacted the Applicants' representative, Nils Pedersen, to purposefully resolve formal matters set forth in the office action in order to place the application in condition for allowance but was advised to send out an office action instead. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Sue My | | |
| Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |